

1 GABRIELLE M. WIRTH - SBN 106492
2 wirth.gabrielle@dorsey.com
3 PAVLINA K. RAFTER - SBN 304181
rafter.pavlina@dorsey.com
4 DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
600 Anton Boulevard, Suite 2000
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Telephone: (714) 800-1400
5 Facsimile: (714) 800-1499

6 Attorneys for Defendant
7 CANON INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES, INC.

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION**

10
11 STEPHANIE NICOLE GONZALEZ-
12 COLON, as an individual,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15
16 FRASCO, INC. d/b/a
FRASCOPROFILES, CANON
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
SERVICES, INC, and DOES 1-10
inclusive,

17 Defendants.
18
19

20 CASE NO. 2:25-CV-04414

21 Hon. Maame Ewusi-Mensah Frimpong
Courtroom 8B

22 [Los Angeles Superior Court Case No.
25NNCV02482]

23 **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING**
DEFENDANT CANON
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
SERVICES, INC.'S MOTION TO
DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S
COMPLAINT

24 [Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion
and Motion; Memorandum of Points and
Authorities; Declaration of Pavlina
Rafter, Declaration of Brian Griggs, and
[Proposed] Order]

25 **DATE:** August 14, 2025
TIME: 10:00 A.M.
PLACE: United States Courthouse
350 West First Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Courtroom 8b, 8th Floor

26 Complaint Filed: April 10, 2025
27 Trial Date: Not Set

Defendant Canon Information Technology Services, Inc.’s (“Defendant” or “CITS”) Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Stephanie Nicole Gonzalez-Colon’s (“Plaintiff”) Complaint came on for hearing before the above-entitled Court on August 14, 2025 at 10:00 a.m.

5 After consideration of the papers, the record in this case, the arguments of
6 counsel, and good cause appearing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT** the Motion
7 to Dismiss Plaintiff Stephanie Nicole Gonzalez-Colon's Complaint against
8 Defendant CITS is **GRANTED** and the First Cause of Action in the above-entitled
9 action is **DISMISSED** against Defendant CITS, with prejudice, without leave to
10 amend for the following reasons:

11 This Court lacks personal jurisdiction to decide Plaintiff's claim for Fair Credit
12 Reporting Act ("FCRA") against CITS pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) because Defendant
13 CITS is not subject to California's general jurisdiction, nor can there be specific
14 jurisdiction over Plaintiff's sole claim against CITS based on alleged wrongful
15 conduct which occurred outside California.

17 | IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 | DATED: , 2025

Hon. Maame Ewusi-Mensah Frimpong
United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

All Case Participants are registered for the USDC CM/ECF System

***Stephanie Nicole Gonzalez-Colon v. Frasco, Inc. D/B/A Frascoprofiles,
Canon Information Technology Services, Inc
United States District Court
Central District of California – Western Division
Case No.: 2:25-CV-04414***

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT CANON
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES, INC.'S MOTION TO
DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

I hereby certify on June 4, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court, Central District of California by using the CM/ECF System.

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF Users will be served by the CM/ECF System.

DATED: June 4 2025

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

By: /s/Pavlina K. Rafter
Pavlina K. Rafter